Legislators around the globe are in the process of passing modern resolution regimes for financial institutions designed after the Key Attributes of the Financial Stability Board (FSB). In Hong Kong, the Financial Institutions (Resolution) Ordinance (FIRO) entered into force in 2017 and provides the rules for resolution authorities of how to deal with failing financial institutions.

While applicable to a whole range of financial institutions, resolution regimes are predominantly concerned with failing banks. The resolution tools seek to guarantee the survival of banks’ systemically important parts, either within or independent of the struggling bank. In either instance, recapitalization is a key measure, and the most important tools to this end are bail-ins of liabilities and financial aid provided by resolution funds. A comparative look at the relevant regulation implemented elsewhere, especially in the EU and Singapore, facilitates an assessment of Hong Kong’s rules.

Measures aimed at failure prevention and resolution tools go hand in hand, and their interplay is an important aspect to be discussed, especially the requirement of loss absorbing capacity and list of bail-inable and exempted liabilities. Similarly, sector-specific rescue funding, state aid and central bank lending of last resort should be seen in context and the promise of combined efforts for the rescue of failing banks analysed.

Finally, a specific aspect deserves attention. The EU is in the process of designing a specific resolution regime for Central Counterparties. In contrast, Hong Kong and Singapore have chosen to draw the scope of application of their resolution regimes wide enough to include CCPs, calling for a discussion whether CCPs can easily be covered by general resolution rules, require a specific resolution regime or are even unsuitable targets for resolution mechanisms altogether.

All are welcome!
Admission is free of charge!

To join us, please register your interest here by 19 November 2018.
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